

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/704,573	RODRIGUEZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Igor Borissov	3639	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowance

 (1) Igor Borissov.

(3) _____.

 (2) Leslie A. Van Leeuwen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2005
Time: 3:00 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18, 19, 20, 34, 35, 36 and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During the Interview, possible changes to Claims 18, 19, 20, 34, 35, 36 and 37 were discussed to avoid 35 USC 101 issues. Finally, the Applicant's representative gave authorization for amendment as presented in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)